

From: [Ragan Broyles](#)
To: [David McQuiddy](#); [Eric Delgado](#)
Subject: FW: URGENT - REVIEW
Date: 06/30/2011 11:59 AM

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

----- Forwarded by Ragan Broyles/R6/USEPA/US on 06/30/2011 12:59:16 PM-----

----- Original Message -----

From : David Gray/R6/USEPA/US
To : Greg Fife/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Broyles.Ragan@epamail.epa.gov, Sam Coleman/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc :
Sent on : 06/30/2011 12:51:37 PM
Subject : URGENT - REVIEW

1. Is the EPA plane monitoring the airspace above the lab, especially Area G? (there are concerns about a no-fly zone)

State Department of Homeland Security and Emergency Response, in consultation with others, is determining the flight plan for the ASPECT based on the location of fires and wind direction. Yesterday's flight plan was limited due to other firefighting aircraft in the area. The approved flight plan did not include areas within the 'no-fly' zone.

2. What have the results of EPA monitoring flights shown so far? (ABC seemed to report yesterday that nothing unusual had been detected)

Monitoring data has been provided to New Mexico Department of Environmental Quality officials for review. No determination from ASPECT data has been announced.

3. Will EPA publish these results or are these turned to over to NMED? (fine either way, just curious)

Monitoring data is being provide to NMED and other state officials at the EOC. NMED is the lead for releasing data.

4. How exactly are the sites for the ground monitors being determined? (below says "identified by DOE/state officials", if those monitoring sites are being decided by DOE, that's going to raise questions w/ people who are inclined to doubt NNSA/LANL statements, obviously since its DOE property I assume there are conversations with them)

New Mexico Environmental Department, in consultation with others, is establishing and

securing permission at sites for mobile monitors based on nearby and downwind populations.